

Two Tower Center Blvd.
10th Floor
East Brunswick, New Jersey 08816

83690
CHEMICAL LAND HOLDINGS, INC.

February 19, 2001

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II
Emergency and Remedial Response Division
Diamond Alkali Superfund Site/Passaic River Study Area
290 Broadway, 19th Floor, Room W-20
New York, NY 10007-1866

Attention: Ms. Janet Conetta
Strategic Integration Manager


Subject: Monthly Progress Report No. 82
Passaic River Study Area

Dear Ms. Conetta:

Submitted herewith are two (2) copies of Monthly Progress Report No. 82 for work performed during January 2001 at the Passaic River Study Area. This progress report has been prepared pursuant to Section X, Paragraph 64 of the Administrative Order of Consent between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Occidental Chemical Corporation, Index No. II-CERCLA-0117.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (732) 246-5851.

Sincerely,



Clifford Firstenberg
Project Manager
On behalf of Occidental Chemical Corporation
(as successor to Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company)

(2 copies sent)

Attachment

836900001

Ms. Janet Conetta
Passaic River Study Area
February 19, 2001
Page 2

2c: Section Chief
NJDEP-Bureau of Federal Case Management
401 East State Street -CN 028
Trenton, NJ 08625-0028
Attn: Jonathan D. Berg

1c: Chief, New Jersey Superfund Branch
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
290 Broadway, 19th Floor, Room W-20
New York, NY 10007-1866
Attention: Diamond Alkali Site Attorney - Passaic River Study Area

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT NO. 82
PASSAIC RIVER STUDY AREA
WORK PERIOD: January 2001

A. Actions Taken Toward Achieving Compliance with the Order

1. Work on the second human health risk assessment interim deliverable according to RAGS Part D.
2. Update the first ecological risk assessment interim deliverable with validated chemicals of potential concern – not available at the time of the original submittal.
3. Work on the second ecological risk assessment interim deliverable according to ERAGS.
4. Screening of remedial treatment technologies.

B. Actual or Potential Violations and Other Problems Encountered:

No actual or potential violations. The following problems have been encountered:

1. SED2DV45 does not appear to conserve mass (first reported in Monthly Progress Report No. 78, September 2000).
2. SED2DV45 test case model results differ between WES and CLH (first reported in Monthly Progress Report No. 78, September 2000).
3. Lack of surface water data precludes assessing a number of receptors in the human health risk assessment and in developing an ecological risk assessment consistent with EPA guidance that considers the surface water pathway (first reported in Monthly Progress Report No. 78, September 2000).

C. Corrective Actions:

1. A number of tests to evaluate the lack of mass conservation in SED2DV45 have been conducted. CLH submitted a letter to EPA and WES reporting on the results of additional testing (October 31, 2000).
2. A number of tests to determine the cause of differing model results between SED2DV45 run by WES versus SED2DV45 run by CLH will be completed upon resolution of the lack of mass conservation.
3. CLH submitted a letter describing the missing surface water data and a recommendation for mitigating the problem (October 19, 2000).

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT NO. 82
PASSAIC RIVER STUDY AREA
WORK PERIOD: January 2001
(continued)

D. Validated Sampling or Testing Results Received or Generated During the Course of Implementing the Work:

1. None.

E. Future Plans, Actions and Data Scheduled for February and March 2001:

1. Determine the cause of different model results for SED2DV45 run by WES versus SED2DV45 run by CLH, and communicate to EPA and WES.
2. Resume implementation of the protocol for evaluation of the potential use of the STUDH-2000 (SED2DV45) beta model for the Passaic River Study Area, upon resolution of the two identified problems (lack of mass conservation and differing results between CLH and WES versions of the model).
3. Work on the second human health risk assessment interim deliverable according to RAGS Part D.
4. Update and resubmit the first ecological risk assessment interim deliverable with validated chemicals of potential concern – not available at the time of the original submittal.
5. Work on the second ecological risk assessment interim deliverable according to ERAGS.
6. Resubmit validated Late Summer/Early Fall and Spring ESP data with additional tissue-type specification and sample location information.
7. Evaluation of need for treatability testing.
8. Submit revised CSO Work Plan following evaluation of RI/FS CSO program versus Harbor Estuary Program's (HEP's) Contaminant Assessment Reduction Program (CARP) methods.

F. Work Completion Estimates:

1. The RIWP is 100% complete.
2. The FSWP is 100% complete.
3. The Graphic Data Representation is 100% complete.
4. The Model Test Case Report is 100% complete.
5. The Screening-Level HERA is 100% complete.

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT NO. 82
PASSAIC RIVER STUDY AREA
WORK PERIOD: January 2001
(continued)

6. The Alternatives Array Document is 35% complete.
7. The Ecological Sampling Plan is 100% complete (i.e., original submittal to EPA).
8. The Calibration and Verification Status Report is 100% complete (i.e., original submittal to EPA)
9. Creel/Angler Survey Work Plan is 100% complete (i.e., original submittal to EPA)

G. Delays Encountered or Anticipated and Mitigation Actions:

1. Lack of mass conservation in SED2DV45 and the tests being performed to determine the cause, may delay completion of the implementation of the protocol for evaluation of the potential use of the STUDH-2000 (SED2DV45) beta model for the Passaic River Study Area.
2. Differing model results between WES and CLH when running standard model input using SED2DV45 and the tests being performed to determine the cause, may delay completion of the implementation of the protocol for evaluation of the potential use of the STUDH-2000 (SED2DV45) beta model for the Passaic River Study Area.
3. The lack of surface water data to support the human and ecological risk assessments can be mitigated though the timely implementation of a surface water collection program. If implemented in a timely manner, this additional field program may not affect the project schedule.

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT NO. 82
PASSAIC RIVER STUDY AREA
WORK PERIOD: January 2001
(continued)

D. Validated Sampling or Testing Results Received or Generated During the Course of Implementing the Work:

1. None.

E. Future Plans, Actions and Data Scheduled for February and March 2001:

1. Determine the cause of different model results for SED2DV45 run by WES versus SED2DV45 run by CLH, and communicate to EPA and WES.
2. Resume implementation of the protocol for evaluation of the potential use of the STUDH-2000 (SED2DV45) beta model for the Passaic River Study Area, upon resolution of the two identified problems (lack of mass conservation and differing results between CLH and WES versions of the model).
3. Work on the second human health risk assessment interim deliverable according to RAGS Part D.
4. Update and resubmit the first ecological risk assessment interim deliverable with validated chemicals of potential concern – not available at the time of the original submittal.
5. Work on the second ecological risk assessment interim deliverable according to ERAGS.
6. Resubmit validated Late Summer/Early Fall and Spring ESP data with additional tissue-type specification and sample location information.
7. Evaluation of need for treatability testing.
8. Submit revised CSO Work Plan following evaluation of RI/FS CSO program versus Harbor Estuary Program's (HEP's) Contaminant Assessment Reduction Program (CARP) methods.
9. Implement the Creel/Angler Survey according to the Work Plan dated October 23, 2000, and incorporating revisions resulting from pending EPA comments.

F. Work Completion Estimates:

1. The RIWP is 100% complete.
2. The FSWP is 100% complete.
3. The Graphic Data Representation is 100% complete.

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT NO. 82
PASSAIC RIVER STUDY AREA
WORK PERIOD: January 2001
(continued)

4. The Model Test Case Report is 100% complete.
5. The Screening-Level HERA is 100% complete.
6. The Alternatives Array Document is 35% complete.
7. The Ecological Sampling Plan is 100% complete (i.e., original submittal to EPA).
8. The Calibration and Verification Status Report is 100% complete (i.e., original submittal to EPA)
9. Creel/Angler Survey Work Plan is 100% complete (i.e., original submittal to EPA)

G. Delays Encountered or Anticipated and Mitigation Actions:

1. Lack of mass conservation in SED2DV45 and the tests being performed to determine the cause, may delay completion of the implementation of the protocol for evaluation of the potential use of the STUDH-2000 (SED2DV45) beta model for the Passaic River Study Area.
2. Differing model results between WES and CLH when running standard model input using SED2DV45 and the tests being performed to determine the cause, may delay completion of the implementation of the protocol for evaluation of the potential use of the STUDH-2000 (SED2DV45) beta model for the Passaic River Study Area.
3. The lack of surface water data to support the human and ecological risk assessments can be mitigated though the timely implementation of a surface water collection program. If implemented in a timely manner, this additional field program may not affect the project schedule.